## Pupil premium strategy statement (2024-2025)

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

## **School overview**

Detail	Data
School name	St Joseph's Catholic Primary School, Poole.
Number of pupils in school	389
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	18.5%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers <b>(3-year plans are recommended)</b>	2022 - 2025
Date this statement was published	30/11/24
Date on which it will be reviewed	Spring 2025
Statement authorised by	Neil McDermott
Pupil premium lead	Neil McDermott
Governor / Trustee lead	Bryan Dion

## Funding overview (2023/24)

Detail	Amount	
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£110,505 (£105,863 allocated)	
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	n/a	
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0	
<b>Total budget for this academic year</b> If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£110,505	

# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

## **Statement of intent**

When making decisions about using Pupil Premium funding it is important to consider the context of the school and the subsequent challenges faced. This alongside research conducted by the EEF. Common barriers to learning for disadvantaged children can be: less support at home, weak language and communication skills, lack of confidence, more frequent behaviour difficulties and attendance and punctuality issues. There may also be complex family situations that prevent children from flourishing. The challenges are varied and there is no "one size fits all". We will ensure that all teaching staff are involved in the analysis of data and identification of pupils, so that they are fully aware of strengths and weaknesses across the school.

### Principles

- To ensure that teaching and learning opportunities meet the needs of all pupils.
- To ensure that appropriate provision is made for pupils who belong to vulnerable groups, this includes ensuring that the needs of socially disadvantaged pupils are adequately assessed and addressed.
- In making provision for socially disadvantaged pupils, we recognise that not all pupils who receive free school meals will be socially disadvantaged.
- We also recognise that not all pupils who are socially disadvantaged are registered for free school meals. We reserve the right to allocate the Pupil Premium funding to support any pupil, or groups of pupils the schools have legitimately identified as being socially disadvantaged.
- Pupil Premium funding will be allocated following a needs analysis which will identify priority groups or individuals. Limited funding and resources mean that not all children receiving free school meals will be in receipt of pupil premium interventions at one time.

### **Overall Aims**

- To narrow the attainment gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils nationally and within internal school data.
- For all disadvantaged pupils in school to exceed nationally expected progress rates in order to reach Age Related Expectation at the end of Year 6 and thus achieve GCSE's in English and Maths.

## Challenges (2022 - 2025)

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Low starting points in literacy and language skills due to SEN. 33% of pupils supported by PPG across the school have a SEN.
2	Gap in attainment between all pupils and pupils supported by PPG typically 25% across all subjects in school.
3	Lockdown resulted in pupils supported by PPG not accessing school (25% of PPG pupils in school summer term 2020), resulting in missed learning.
4	Lower pupil engagement (e.g., higher number of behaviour incidents (76% of L3 of incidents, 2018); higher levels of absence (6.7%, 2019); higher lateness (0.08%, 2019); lower engagement in lessons compared to peers

## Intended outcomes (2022 – 2025)

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Close the gap and accelerate progress of PP pupils in literacy and language skills	90% of pupils supported by PPG with SEN reach SEN targets
Ensure Quality First Teaching in all lessons	Accelerate progress of PP pupils resulting in a reduction in the gap in attainment between PP and non-PP by 10% in RD, WR, MA.
Provide a curriculum offer that responds to the welfare, emotional health and missed learning due to COVID-19, resulting in accelerated progress	90% of Pupils supported by PPG grant make expected progress during autumn term. ELSA / PSE Interventions results in improvements in mental health of individuals.
Pupil engagement in lessons is improved, pupils demonstrate positive learning behaviours.	Pupils take greater part in lessons resulting in them remembering more and making progress as least as good as their peers (see above target). PP lateness is reduced to 0.05%. PP attendance is improved to 95%.

## Activity in this academic year (2024/2025)

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

### **Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)**

### Budgeted cost: £16,760

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Provide 'Talk for Writing' training for all staff (second year of development). - Training - Release time - Staff Meetings	From EEF: The average impact of Oral language interventions is approximately an additional six months' progress over the course of a year. Some studies also often report improved classroom climate and fewer behavioural issues following work on oral language.	1
Focus on developing vocabulary linked to Curriculum plan. - Training - Staff Meetings	From EEF: Oral language interventions (also known as oracy or speaking and listening interventions) refer to approaches that emphasise the importance of spoken language and verbal interaction in the classroom.	1,2
Maths lead deliver 'fluency' CPD to teaching staff both KS1 and KS2. Focus on number bonds, daily practice of skills, multiplication tables.	Effective AfL and mastery approach will ensure provision matched to need. Use Power Maths as a resource to facilitate Mastery Approach. Use of small steps learning will facilitate greater independence in lessons. EEF: 'Mastery learning appears to be a promising strategy for narrowing the attainment gap'	1,2
Focused on developing practice in EYFS. Consultant support focusing on rationale, developing environment in and out; focus on PSE in first term, develop planning, communication.	Early Years Toolkit: Play-based learning takes place across a range of indoor/outdoor, home-based and educational learning environments. On one end of the continuum is free play, where activities are initiated and sustained by the child. In these activities, the adult has a role in planning and setting up the learning provision, providing resources and materials to enhance learning and support play.	1,2

# Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

### Budgeted cost: £63,898

Activity Evidence that supports this approach Challenge number(s) addressed
---

<b>Year 6</b> Provide tutoring for targeted individual children in Y6 (Maths). Class teachers released 2 hours per week.	EEF: 'Short, regular sessions (about 30 minutes, three to five times a week) over a set period of time (six to twelve weeks) appear to result in optimum impact.	1,2
<b>Year 6</b> Provide daily small group focused reading workshop led by JMM. Reduced class size for main group.	EEF: 'Short, regular sessions (about 30 minutes, three to five times a week) over a set period of time (six to twelve weeks) appear to result in optimum impact.	1,2
<b>Year 6</b> DHT to target Y6 PP in MA reducing class size and providing focused intervention (5 sessions per week).	Smaller teaching group. EEF: 'improve the quality of teaching and learning, for example by increasing the amount of high-quality feedback or one to one attention learners receive'	1,2
<b>KS1 and KS2</b> Provide 'Forest School' provision for 1 day per week (2 groups). This will target specific pupils.	Forest Schools are multi-sensory and can help <b>improve concentration and the motivation to</b> <b>learn</b> . Forest Schools can help children with learning disabilities or additional needs to gain more independence and self-confidence, reduce anxiety, build resilience, and improve their communication skills.	1,2,3
<b>KS1 phonics</b> Provide 2 TAs to work daily with identified groups in phonics across Y1, Y2.	EEF: 'Short, regular sessions (about 30 minutes, three to five times a week) over a set period of time (six to twelve weeks) appear to result in optimum impact.'	1,2
Y3, 4 Catch Up Phonics TA 4 mornings per week	EEF: 'Phonics has a positive impact overall (+ 5 months) with very extensive evidence this is an important component of early reading skills, particularly for children from a disadvantaged background.'	1,2
Intervention 1-1 Reading Individual work Y4,5,6 Daily 2 hours a day.	<i>EEF:</i> 'On average 1 to 1 tuition is very effective at improving pupils outcomes. $1 - 1$ tuition might be an effective strategy for providing target support for pupils that are identified as having low prior attainment or are struggling in particular areas.,	1,2
<b>EYFS</b> Provide additional HLTA adult to support early language acquisition (3 days per week) and whole class development.	'PP pupils have a significant less range of vocabulary compared to non-PP. Vocabulary acquisition is crucial in developing knowledge and skills in all areas of the curriculum.'	1,2,3

# Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

### Budgeted cost: £25,205

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Provide pastoral support worker and ELSA individualised support (HLTA full time).	Social interventions. EEF: targeted at students with particular social or emotional needs.	1, 3, 4

Attendance officer in school to analyse and identify poor attendance (3 hrs per work).	Improved regular attendance will result in improved outcomes and attitudes to school life.	4
Provide a range of interventions to support engagement including subsidised wrap around provision, access to sports clubs, residential trips.	Support for families in challenging circumstances enables pupil supported by PPG to maintain regular attendance.	4
Weekly welfare meeting to identify pupils at risk.	Social interventions. EEF: targeted at students with particular social or emotional needs	4

# Total budgeted cost: £105,863

# Part B: Review of outcomes in 2023-2024

## Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2023 to 2024 academic year.

### Overall

- Progress of PP group in line with peers and in most cases above expectations across the school. At Y6 attainment of PP group exceeded peers resulting in reduced gap between PP and peers.
- In Y5 attainment gap maintained and remains a concern at 40% below peers. See planned support for PP in Y6 in 2024/25.
- In Y3, Y4 attainment gap between PP and peers reduced to 15%.
- In Y1, Y2 attainment gap between PP and peers reduced to 10%.
- Phonics attainment at Y1 similar between PP and peers given the inclusion of two disapplied PP pupils.
- Attainment of PP and non-PP EYFS group below peers. Development of EYFS provision and attainment a priority for this year (2024/25).

#### Year 6

- In Y6 classes, additional provision of an extra teacher in Maths lessons (DHT) created 3 classes daily. Tutor working directly with small groups of children focusing on writing and maths.
- This resulted in Y6 PP 2023 outcomes improving from (**RD 57%; WR 57%, MA 43%**) to Y6 PP in 2024 (**RD 63%; WR 58%; MA 75%**). This has led to a raising of attainment of PP grouping and a reduction in the gap between PP and non PP.
- In Y6 the proportion of PP pupils (10) making expected progress is **RD 84%**, **WR 100%**, **92% MA** as compared to all pupils **RD 79%**, **WR**, **100%**, **MA 98%**. Progress between PP and non PP broadly in line.

Year 5

 In Y5 an experienced HLTA provided intervention in English for a low attaining group of pupils. Attainment of PP group is RD 36%; WR 14%: MA 29% which led to a gap in attainment of 40% below peers. This is due to the significant proportion Y5 PP being also SEN. Despite this the PP group made expected progress (RD 86%; WR 79%; MA 78%) which was in line with non PP grouping.

Year 4

• Attainment of PP and non PP groups is broadly in line in RD (70%), whilst attainment between non and PP is 15% above in WR and MA. However the proportion of PP pupils making expected progress is 100% in all areas.

Year 3

• In Y3, attainment of whole cohort made accelerated progress in RD and WR. PPG pupils' attainment **RD 69%; WR 44%; MA 44%** approx. 20% below peers in all areas. Progress of PPG pupils (16) is RD 100%; WR 100%; MA 94%, similar progress to peers.

Year 2

• Y2 attainment at KS1 is **RD 70%; WR 64%; MA 70%** which is in line with national. PPG (10 pupils) attainment being 60% in RD, WR and MA. Progress of PPG pupils (10) is in line with peers at RD 100%, WR 89%, MA 100%.

Year 1

• Attainment at Y1: **RD 79%; WR 73%; MA 75%** which is in line with national and represents expected progress from EYFS GLD at **69%.** Attainment of PPG group in all areas at 57% (4 out of 7). Phonics in Y1 supported by two TAs to provide additional smaller groups and focus on

specific 'stages'. As a result, **82%** of pupils attained the phonics check in Y1 with 4 out of 7 pupils supported by PPG meeting phonics check (57%).

### EYFS

EYFS, 7 pupils were supported by PPG funding. Four out of seven attained GLD (57%) which was in line with whole cohort at 60% although below national at 68%. Overall funded pupils (7) attained RD 71%; WR 57%: MA 57% which is in line with peers in RD (74%) but below in WR (70%) and MA (74%).

School based strategies (e.g., pastoral support HLTA) have a positive impact in a reduction of the number of behavioural incidents. There have been one 1-day suspensions over the previous 2 years.

Despite a steady improvement in attendance over the last 3 years (2021/22: **93.2%** 2022/23: **93.4%** 2023/24: **94.5%**) attendance for all children is below national average. During this time there has been increase in the attendance gap between PP and peers of 2.4%. Increasing attendance levels in a priority for the school for 2024/25.